# **SMT-COMP 2020** 15th International Satisfiability Modulo Theories Competition

Haniel Barbosa, Jochen Hoenicke, <u>Antti Hyvärinen</u>, July 7, 2020

# **SMT-COMP**

Annual competition for SMT solvers

On (a selection of) benchmarks from **SMT-LIB** 

- First held in 2005
- 2013: evaluation instead of competition
- since 2014: hosted by StarExec

Goals

- encourage scientific advances in SMT solvers
- **stimulate** community to explore shared challenges
- promote tools and their usage
- engage and include new members of the community
- benchmarks

support the SMT-LIB project to promote and develop the SMT-LIB format and collect relevant

# New this year

An attempt at containing the workload Soft limits on number of solvers per team Select less benchmarks Set lower timeout Give more time to run the actual competition **Extension** of the Model Validation track A ban for portfolio-style solvers

# **SMT-Solvers**

benchmarks in SMT-LIB format

This year participants:

- CDCL(T)-based "classic" SMT solvers
- Automated Theorem Provers

 Wrapper Tools: call at least one other SMT solver This year no derived tool submissions

# Determine satisfiability of instances from first-order logic fragments

# **Competition overview**





#### Incremental

Divisions: e.g. ALIA QF\_DT

. . .

incremental benchmarks



## Tracks

### **Single-Query Track** (previously Main Track)

- One single check-sat command, no push/pop commands
- Remove all benchmarks solved by all solvers in 2018 or 2019 in  $\leq 1$  s
- Partly randomised selection of at least 40% of instances
- Time limit 1200s (20 min)

**Incremental Track** (previously Application Track)

- Multiple check-sat commands, and push/pop commands
- Solvers are executed on benchmarks via the trace executor
- Partly randomised selection of at least 40% of instances
- Time limit 1200s (20 min)

## Tracks

### **Unsat Core Track**

- One single check-sat command,  $\geq 2$  assert commands
- Benchmarks with status UNSAT
- Extract unsat core as set of top-level assertions
- Partly randomised selection of at least 40% of instances
- Time limit 1200s (20 min)

### **Model Validation Track**

- One single check-sat command
- Selection of benchmarks with status SAT
- Produce full, correct, well-formed model in SMT-LIB format\*
- for division QF\_BV, experimental for QF\_IDL, QF\_LIA, QF\_LIRA, QF\_LRA, QF\_RDL
- Time limit 1200s (20 min)

# Divisions

Tracks are split into divisions Divisions correspond to logics in SMT-LIB

- Solvers are submitted to divisions in a track
- winners are declared:
  - per division and track
  - with respect to different scoring schemes per track

We do not run non-competitive divisions

# Solver Presentations by Participants

## Alt-Ergo @ SmtComp 2020

### Alt-Ergo:

- Shostak based SMT solver
- Specialised in quantified formulas and program verification

### Developers:

News:

• Overall performance optimisation



• Sylvain Conchon, Albin Coquereau, Mattias Roux and Guillaume Bury





... mainly a (non-)termination and complexity bounds prover, but also ...

- SMT-LIB 2 front-end for QF\_NIA
- use bit-blasting for binary arithmetic, back-end: MiniSat [Eén, Sörensson, SAT '03]
- bit-length for unknowns fixed
- bit-length for constants, sums, products etc. as needed (unlike QF\_BV)
- SAT encoding: [Fuhs, Giesl, Middeldorp, Schneider-Kamp, Thiemann, Zankl, SAT '07]
- $\Rightarrow$  back-end for proof techniques for termination/complexity bounds
- approach for SMT-COMP
  - start with small search space
  - if MiniSat says satisfiable: return with model
  - else: retry with larger search space until satisfiable (or out of resources)



• NB: small solver id **1229** (next higher id at SMT-COMP '20: **28001**), scored highest in 2015



### Bitwuzla at the SMT-COMP'20

Aina Niemetz, Mathias Preiner

#### Bitwuzla

... is the successor of Boolector.

#### **New Features**

- Floating-points: word-blasting with SymFPU
- Unsat cores for all supported quantifer-free logics

#### Improvements

- Improved propagation-based local search for bit-vectors
- CaDiCaL version 1.2.1 as default SAT back end for all logics

#### Tracks/Divisions

Single Query: Incremental Track: Unsat Core: Model Validation:

 $BV, QF_{A,BV,FP,UF}^+$  $QF_{A,UF,BV,FP}^+$  $QF_{A,BV,FP,UF}^+$ QF\_BV

https://bitwuzla.github.io





## COLIBRI(2020)

Goal participate to all QF\_\*FP\* division:

- support N-dimension arrays
- better integration of multi-theories other than QF\_FP

### Wins of COLIBRI: ■ QF\_BVFPLRA: unsat

- QF\_ABVFPLRA: 24s
- QF\_FP: 24s (Bravo Bitwusla !)
- QF\_FPLRA: all category. Nothing proved after the first 24s

April 13<sup>th</sup> | Bruno Marre, F.Bobot, Zakaria Chihani | p. 2



### CVC4 at the SMT Competition 2020

Clark Barrett, Haniel Barbosa, Martin Brain, Ahmed Irfan, Makai Mann, Mudathir Mohamed, Aina Niemetz, Andres Nötzli, Alex Ozdemir, Mathias Preiner, Andrew Reynolds, Ying Sheng, Cesare Tinelli, Amalee Wilson, Yoni Zohar

#### Divisions

This year's configuration of CVC4 enters all divisions in all tracks.

#### **New Features/Improvements**

- String solver:
  - More aggressive context-dependent simplifications
  - Better reductions of extended functions
  - Lazy processing of regular expression intersections
- Eager bit-blasting solver:
  - New version of CADICAL
  - Ackermannization of uninterpreted sorts
- Arithmetic solver:
  - unit-cube tests

#### Configurations

- Similar to last year
- unconstrained terms

Linear integer arithmetic: Branch-and-bound modified to use ternary splitting lemmas inspired by

Non-linear arithmetic: Backward implication of tangent lemma adopted from MATHSAT-NA-EXT

Model-Validation Track uses same configuration as Single Query Track but disables simplification of

### lazybv2int at the SMT Competition 2020

Yoni Zohar, Ahmed Irfan, Makai Mann, Andres Nötzli, Andrew Reynolds, Clark Barrett

New participant in QF\_BV incremental and non-incremental tracks

#### Highlights

- Prototype solver
- Wrapper tool uses smt-switch
- Uses MathSAT5 and CVC4
- Playground for integer-based solving

#### **Eager Mode**

- Shifts:
  - Replace with a UF
  - Equate with a big ITE
  - ITE simulates exponentiation
- Bitwise Operators:
  - Replace with a UF
  - Equate with sum or "compare bits"

### Approach

- Translation from BV to integers
- Arithmetic operations are easy
- Shift operations: UF
- Bit-wise operations: UF

### Lazy Mode

- Shifts:
  - Replace with a UF
  - Lazily instantiate axioms
  - Worst case: ITE
- Bitwise Operators:
  - Replace with a UF
  - Lazily instantiate axioms
  - Check candidate model with BV solver
  - Worst case: sum/bits

# **OpenSMT**

A CDCL(T)-based SMT solver Supports QF\_UF, QF\_LRA, and to some extent QF\_LIA, QF\_BV New in 2020:

- Performance improvements for QF\_LRA:
  - Theory guided choice of polarity for decision vars
  - Lazy tableau maintenance (quasi-basic vars)
  - Single consistent backup assignment
- Models in SMT-LIB2
- Interpolation in incremental mode

http://verify.inf.usi.ch/opensmt https://github.com/usi-verification-and-security/opensmt

### **RNIHAACHEN UNIVERSITY**

## SMT-RAT 20.04

SMT-RAT



#### Nalbach, Kremer, Ábrahám | July 06, 2020

# 

**SMT-RAT** 



### SMT-RAT strategy

- propagation, virtual substitution and cylindrical algebraic decomposition
- SAT solver: minisat adapted for less-lazy SMT solving non-linear arithmetic: subtropical satisfiability, interval constraint non-linear integer arithmetic: bit-blasting and branch&bound

#### Nalbach, Kremer, Ábrahám | July 06, 2020

### SMT-RAT 20.04

# 

SMT-RAT



### SMT-RAT strategy

- SAT solver: minisat adapted for less-lazy SMT solving

- SMT-RAT-MCSAT strategy: MCSAT module based on minisat
  - one-cell construction, NLSAT-style model based projections

### SMT-RAT 20.04

non-linear arithmetic: subtropical satisfiability, interval constraint propagation, virtual substitution and cylindrical algebraic decomposition non-linear integer arithmetic: bit-blasting and branch&bound Fourier-Motzkin, interval constraint propagation, virtual substitution,

# 

SMT-RAT



### SMT-RAT strategy

- SAT solver: minisat adapted for less-lazy SMT solving

- SMT-RAT-MCSAT strategy: MCSAT module based on minisat
- SMT-RAT-CA1C strategy: less-lazy SMT module implementing the novel method cylidrical algebraic coverings (CAIC)

#### Nalbach, Kremer, Ábrahám | July 06, 2020

### SMT-RAT 20.04

non-linear arithmetic: subtropical satisfiability, interval constraint propagation, virtual substitution and cylindrical algebraic decomposition non-linear integer arithmetic: bit-blasting and branch&bound Fourier-Motzkin, interval constraint propagation, virtual substitution, one-cell construction, NLSAT-style model based projections

### SMTInterpol

Jürgen Christ, Jochen Hoenicke, Tanja Schindler



https://github.com/ultimate-pa/smtinterpol~ https://ultimate.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/smtinterpol







### The adapter that adds quantifier support to your SMT solver!

https://ultimate.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/eliminator/

Origin: Quantifier elimination of the Ultimate Automizer software verifier Supported theories: Arrays, Bitvectors, Floats, Integers, Reals

2020 competition candidate:

ULTIMATEELIMINATOR+MATHSAT-5.6.3

Max Barth, Daniel Dietsch, Leonard Fichtner, Matthias Heizmann, Andreas Podelski

## Vampire 4.5

Reger, Suda, Voronkov, Kovács, Kotelnikov, Robillard, Riener, Rawson, Gleiss, Rath, Hozzova, Schoisswohl

https://vprover.github.io

Entering SMTCOMP (single query) since 2016 SMT Logics: A, DT, LIA, LRA, NIA, NRA, UF Uses a portfolio of strategies and wraps Z3 for ground reasoning.

General Approach is proof search using the Superposition and Resolution Calculus (also using finite model finding in UF)

Theory Reasoning:

- Theory axioms and Evaluation
- AVATAR modulo theories (ground splitting via Z3)
- Theory instantiation (using Z3)
- Induction on Datatypes



### Haniel Barbosa<sup>2</sup>, Daniel El Ouraoui<sup>2</sup>, Pascal Fontaine<sup>2</sup>, Hans-Jörg Schurr<sup>2</sup>

<sup>(2)</sup> Department of Computer Science, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG) <sup>A</sup>CNRS, Inria, and the University of Lorraine, Nancy, France <sup><sup>©</sup></sup>Université de Liège, Belgium

- New instantiation heuristics
  - Restrict number of instances per quantified formula Restrict the total number of skolem constants
- Automatically generated option scheduler
  - Generated using integer programming
  - Non-competing variant for 24s timeout: veriT+vite
- Improved support for higher-order logic
- Better proof production

Instantiation (Learned instance selection, improved conflicting instantiation)



### Yices 2 in SMTCOMP 2020

### Yices 2

- Supports linear and non-linear arithmetic, arrays, UF, bitvectors Supports incremental solving and unsat cores  $\circ$  Includes two types of solvers: classic CDCL(T) + MCSAT

- https://github.com/SRI-CSL/yices2
- https://yices.csl.sri.com

### Entered in all Supported Logics and Divisions

- Single Query: Quantifier-free logics including linear and nonlinear arithmetic, bitvectors, and combination with UF and Arrays.
- Model Validation: All logic supported
- Incremental: Same logics
- Unsat Core: Same logics minus non-linear arithmetic

### New Developments in 2020

#### Main Solver

- New backend SAT solver
- More bit-vector simplification and rewriting
- Improvements to MCSAT-BV (presented at IJCAR)
- Better SMT-LIB Models

#### Language Bindings

Python, Ocaml, Go (on GitHub) + Java (to be released)

#### Experimental/In Progress

- Support for quantifiers
- Interpolants via MCSAT

Improvements to MCSAT (better handling of equalities, interval reasoning)

## Z3 | str | 4 A Two-Armed String Solver

#### Murphy Berzish, Mitja Kulczynski, Federico Mora, Dirk Nowotka, Vijay Ganesh



### **Two-armed solver incorporating three** sub-solvers:

C Z3str3

← LAS (Length Abstraction Solver) **Z3seq** (Microsoft Research sequence solver)

- Arm selection is done via a syntax-driven "probe" that predicts which arm will have the best runtime
- LAS is a novel CEGAR-style algorithm that solves abstractions and refinements of integer constraints implied by string equations

- **Z**3str3 leverages a bit-vector reduction to combine the efficiency of an unfolding-based strategy with the ability to reason about unbounded string terms
- Sequence solver and LAS augmented with "dynamic difficulty estimation" heuristic
- **Full** system description at https://z3str4.github.io/smtcomp.pdf

# **Non-Competitive Solvers**

Total: 24 (SQ), 17 (Inc), 15 (UC), 7 (MV)

Submitted by organisers:

- Z3-4.8.8
- MathSAT 5.6.3
- Division winners from last year (27 solvers)

Submitted by participants:

- Late submission (veriT+vite)
- Fixed solvers (Bitwuzla, MinkeyRink, SMTInterpol, Yices2)

# **Benchmark Selection**

### Remove easy benchmarks

• SQ: all benchmarks solved by all solvers in  $\leq 1$  s in 2018 or 2019

### Ensure **minimum number of instances** in a division

- $n \leq 300$ : all instances
- $300 < n \leq 750$ : 300 instances
- n > 750: 40% of the instances

Guarantee inclusion of **new benchmarks** (at least one per family) Select benchmarks uniformly at random

![](_page_28_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Single Query: **Incremental**: **Unsat Core**: validated models

- number of correctly solved instances
- number of correctly solved check-sat calls
- reduction number of top-level assertions
- Model Validation: number of correctly solved instances with

## Scores

Sequential score (SQ, MV): time limit applied to CPU time
Parallel score (all): time limit applied to wall-clock time
SAT score (SQ): parallel score for satisfiable instances
UNSAT score (SQ): parallel score for unsatisfiable instances
24s score (SQ): parallel score for time limit of 24s

# **Competition-Wide Recognitions**

Two competition-wide rankings

## **Biggest lead**

- in terms of score over the solver in the next place
- tie: ranked by biggest lead in CPU/wall-clock time

## Largest contribution

ranked by contribution to virtual best solver in terms of score tie: ranked by largest contribution in terms of CPU/wall-clock time

## **Competition overview**

## **Teams:** 16 (-7)

StarExec Stats: 943'564 jobs (-79'258), 9.9 CPU years (-11.5)

	Solvers		Divisions		Benchmarks		
Track	Total	C/NC	Total	C/Exp	С	Selected	Total
SQ	42 (-9)	20/22	67 (+10)	67/0	89'910 (+25'754)	89'924 <b>(+107)</b>	353'790 <b>(+26'749)</b>
Inc	24 (+2)	9/15	26 (-3)	26/0	9'817 (+2'982)	10'296 (+2'729)	23'519 (+9'489)
UC	18 (+4)	5/13	40 (+2)	40/0	42'417 (+12'609)	44'539 <mark>(+198)</mark>	107'357 (+28'655)
MV	13 (+3)	7/6	6 (+5)	1/5	5752 (+5752)	8'284 (+1093)	20'550 (+6'168)

C – competitive, NC – non-competitive, Exp – experimental

![](_page_32_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Results

#### SMT-COMP

The International Satisfiability Modulo Theories (SMT) Competition.

#### GitHub

Home Introduction Benchmark Submission Publications SMT-LIB **Competitions by Year** 

#### SMT-COMP 2020

Rules Benchmarks Tools Specs Participants Results

#### SMT-COMP 2020 Results

#### Competition-Wide Recognitions

#### Largest Contribution Ranking

- Incremental Track
- Model Validation Track
- Single Query Track
- Unsat Core Track

#### **Biggest Lead Ranking**

- Incremental Track
- Model Validation Track
- Single Query Track
- Unsat Core Track

#### **Tracks Summary**

- Incremental Track
- Model Validation Track
- Single Query Track
- Unsat Core Track

#### Disagreements

Solvers disagreed on some benchmarks marked as unknown in the following tracks and divisions.

- Single Query Track
  - FP
  - LIA
  - QF\_ABVFPLRA
  - QF\_S

**Competition-Wide Recognitions** 

## Largest contribution

	<b>1st Place</b>	2nd Place	<b>3rd Place</b>
Single Query			
seq	CVC4	Yices2	Vampire
par	CVC4	Yices2	Vampire
sat	CVC4	SMTInterpol	Vampire
unsat	Yices2	CVC4	Vampire
24s	Yices2	CVC4	Vampire
Incremental			
par	CVC4	Yices2	Bitwuzla
Unsat Core			
seq	CVC4	Yices2	Bitwuzla
par	CVC4	Yices2	Bitwuzla

## Largest contribution

<b>1st Place</b>	
------------------	--

	1st Place	2nd Place	<b>3rd Place</b>
Single Query			
seq	CVC4 (UFNIA)	Yices2 (QF_NIA)	Vampire (UF)
par	CVC4 (UFNIA)	Yices2 (QF_NIA)	Vampire (UF)
sat	CVC4 (UFDTLIRA)	<b>SMTInterpol</b> (UFLIA)	Vampire (UF)
unsat	Yices2 (QF_NIA)	CVC4 (UFNIA)	Vampire (UF)
24s	Yices2 (QF_NIA)	CVC4 (UFNIA)	Vampire (UF)
Incremental			
par	CVC4 (UF)	Yices2 (QF_UFLIA)	Bitwuzla (QF_ABV)
Unsat Core			
seq	CVC4 (AUFLIRA)	Yices2 (QF_AX)	Bitwuzla (QF_BV)
par	CVC4 (AUFLIRA)	Yices2 (QF_AX)	Bitwuzla (QF_BV)

# **Biggest Lead**

	1st Place		
Single Query			
seq	CVC4		
par	CVC4		
sat	CVC4		
unsat	CVC4		
24s	CVC4		
Incremental			
par	CVC4		
<b>Unsat Core</b>			
seq	CVC4		
par	CVC4		

2nd Place	<b>3rd Place</b>	
Yices2	SMT-RAT	
Yices2	SMT-RAT	
Yices2	SMTInterpol	
Vampire	Yices2	
Vampire	Yices2	
Yices2	Bitwuzla	
Yices2	Bitwuzla	
Yices2	Bitwuzla	

# **Biggest Lead**

**1st Place** 

Single Query	
seq	CVC4 (AUFBVDTLIA)
par	CVC4 (AUFBVDTLIA)
sat	CVC4 (AUFBVDTLIA)
unsat	CVC4 (UFFPDTLIRA)
24s	CVC4 (UFFPDTLIRA)
Incremental	
par	CVC4 (UFNIA)
<b>Unsat Core</b>	
seq	CVC4 (UFDT)
par	CVC4 (UFDT)

Yices2 (QF_UFNRA)	SMT-RAT (QF_NIRA)	
Yices2 (QF_UFNRA)	SMT-RAT (QF_NIRA)	
Yices2 (QF_UFNRA)	<b>SMTInterpol (ALIA)</b>	
Vampire (NIA)	Yices2 (QF_AUFBV)	
Vampire (UFDTLIA)	Yices2 (QF_AUFBV)	
Yices2 (QF_AUFLIA)	Bitwuzla (QF_BVFP)	
Yices2 (QF_AX)	Bitwuzla (QF_FP)	

**2nd Place** 

**3rd Place** 

Yices2 (QF\_AX)

Bitwuzla (QF\_FP)

## **Model Validation**

	1st Place	2nd Place	<b>3rd Place</b>
seq	Bitwuzla	CVC4	STP + MergeSAT
par	Bitwuzla	STP + CMS	CVC4

## **New entrants**

In 2020 the new solvers are

- Bitwuzla:
  - Aina Niemetz, Mathias Preiner
- LazyBV2Int:
- veriT+vite
  - Haniel Barbosa, Daniel El Ouraoui, Pascal Fontaine, Hans-Jörg Schurr
- Z3str4
  - Murphy Berzish, Mitja Kulczynski, Federico Mora, Vijay Ganesh, Dirk Nowotka

• Ahmed Irfan, Makai Mann, Andres Nötzli, Andrew Reynolds, Yoni Zohar, and Clark Barrett

# **Benchmark contributors**

## The 2020 competition new benchmarks were provided by

- David Deharbe
- Matthias Heizmann
- Johannes Kanig
- Andres Nötzli
- Dennis Yurichev

# Solver disagreements

- Two solvers disagree on a benchmark with unknown status: One says SAT and the other UNSAT
- This is particularly problematic if one of the solvers was not found unsound on a benchmark with known status in the same division

This year we had disagreements

- on 149 benchmarks (115 SQ, 34 Inc, 44 with an unsound solver)
- on 8 divisions (6 SQ, 2 Inc)
- Involving 15 solvers (some of them from last year)

Last year there were no disagreements

# Discussion

## Model Validation Solvers are not standard compliant

## **Two DL extensions**

- First was necessary because we were not ready
- Second caused some harm, we plan to address this in the rules

## **Running fixed solvers on all divisions** where they were submitted

We found this very insightful

**Inclusion of Par4** from last year

Portfolio solvers were not allowed this year, so was this unfair?

# Workload of organising SMT-COMP

meetings git commits Slack discussions Python lines Shell script lines Liquid HTML lines

# 15

### 400

### numerous

4'592

### 1'055

### 1'369

# Acknowledgements

Aina Niemetz and Mathias Preiner (insights and support) Aaron Stump (StarExec)